



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY  
BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS  
2 NAVY ANNEX  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20370-5100

CRS  
Docket No: 6224-00  
17 November 2000



Dear [REDACTED]

This is in reference to your application for correction of your naval record pursuant to the provisions of Title 10, United States Code, Section 1552.

A three-member panel of the Board for Correction of Naval Records, sitting in executive session, considered your application on 15 November 2000. Your allegations of error and injustice were reviewed in accordance with administrative regulations and procedures applicable to the proceedings of this Board. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of your application, together with all material submitted in support thereof, your naval record and applicable statutes, regulations and policies.

After careful and conscientious consideration of the entire record, the Board found that the evidence submitted was insufficient to establish the existence of probable material error or injustice.

The Board found that you enlisted in the Navy on 27 September 1999 in pay grade E-3 at age 21. The record reflects that you had lost time from 28 February to 28 January 2000 and from 3 to 7 March 2000. The DD Form 214 shows that your date of rank as an AA (E-2) was 7 March 2000.

While your record does not contain the separation processing documents, it appears that the commanding officer recommended that you be separated with a general discharge by reason of misconduct due to commission of a serious offense and, after review by the discharge authority, the commanding officer's recommendation for separation was approved. The record clearly shows that you were discharged with a general discharge by reason of misconduct on 12 April 2000 in pay grade E-2. At that time you were assigned an RE-4 reenlistment code.

Applicable regulations require the assignment of an RE-4 reenlistment code when an individual is discharged due to misconduct. Since you have been treated no differently than

others in your situation, the Board could not find an error or injustice in the assignment of your reenlistment code.

Concerning your correct rank and correct dates of service, there is no evidence, and you have provided none, to show that the above dates and rank are incorrect.

Accordingly, your application has been denied. The names and votes of the members of the panel will be furnished upon request.

The Board did not consider whether your characterization of service should be changed, since you did not ask for such consideration and you have not exhausted your administrative remedy by applying to the Naval Discharge Review Board (NDRB). You may apply to NDRB by submitting the attached DD Form 293.

It is regretted that the circumstances of your case are such that favorable action cannot be taken. You are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon submission of new and material evidence or other matter not previously considered by the Board. In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that a presumption of regularity attaches to all official records. Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.

Sincerely,

W. DEAN PFEIFFER  
Executive Director

Enclosure